

From: [Jason J. Fluhr](#)
To: [Emily Daucher](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] draft STP methodology scoring comments
Date: Wednesday, June 9, 2021 9:42:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[PCI range.pdf](#)

Emily – overall I like the revisions. I have 1 comment about the scoring for LAFO projects. I think the scoring should more closely follow the IDOT BLR Manual for pavement preservation. Streets with PCI's 65 or higher are not good candidates for overlays, just preventative maintenance (patching, crack fill, etc.). Streets with PCI lower than 10 should be reconstructed and shouldn't be eligible for this category. The greatest Benefit – Cost ratio is for streets in the Fair/Poor category, and that extends to PCI 26. So my revisions essentially eliminate streets with high or extremely low PCI ratings and extend the PCI range where the points are granted so the Council can better target streets where the money will provide the greatest B/C ratio. Please give me a call with questions. thanks!



Jason J. Fluhr, PE, PTOE
Associate Vice President
Transportation Operations Manager



direct: (815) 444-3222 | mobile: (815) 482-9134
main: 815.459.1260
email: jfluhr@baxterwoodman.com
www.baxterwoodman.com
8678 Ridgefield Rd., Crystal Lake, IL 60012

This email and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the intended addressee(s). If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately or call 815.459.1260 and delete this email. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any use, retention, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. The integrity and security of this message cannot be guaranteed on the Internet. Thank You.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the County network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

While mismatches between the actual SENDER field and the FROM field are common. It is also a common spoofing tactic. For additional reference, this email was actually sent from jfluhr@baxterwoodman.com

If you have any questions about the legitimacy of this email, please call the helpdesk at extension 4828.

BUREAU OF LOCAL ROADS & STREETS
LOCAL AGENCY PAVEMENT PRESERVATION

PCI Condition Ranges				
100-65 0 pts	Excellent		100-86	100 – 65: Feasible for pavement preservation
	Very Good		85-71	
65-56 17 pts	Good		70-56	
55-26 25 pts	Fair		55-41	64 - 0: Not feasible for pavement preservation
	Poor		40-26	
25-11 9 pts	Very Poor		25-11	
10-0 Ineligible	Failed		10-0	

**PAVEMENT PCI CONDITION
 RANGE CLASSIFICATIONS**

Figure 45-4C

	Pavement condition	Rating	Points
Current	Fair	46-60	25
	Satisfactory	51-75	17
	Poor	0-45	10
	Excellent	76-100	0
Modified	Poor, Fair	26-55	25
	Good	56-65	17
	Very Poor	11-25	10
	Very Good, Excellent	65-100	0
	Ineligible	0-10	--