STP PROJECT SCOPING/ FIELD REVIEW REPORT

(For non-transit projects. If transit related, work with Metra, Pace or CTA, as appropriate) 2-2-01

PART I. OVERVIEW

COMMON ROUTE NAME:	ROUTE MARKING:
LIMITS: from:	to:
FEDERAL LOGICAL TERMINI from	n:to:
LENGTH: COUNT	ΓY: FIELD REVIEW DATE:
FIELD REVIEW PARTICIPANTS:	
JURISDICTIONS INVOLVED:	(sponsor)
KEY PEOPLE:	
Name	Name
Title/of	
Phone/fax	Phone/fax
Assigned Local Roads Engineer:	Phone/fax
New Roadway Intersection Traffic Signal Modernization BRIEFLY DESCRIBE SCOPE / PURI	rarface Reconstruction Add Lanes on Improvement Lighting Opticom TCM Type Project Other POSE OF PROJECT:
Categorical Exclusion Type 2 BENGINEERING (enter estimated cos	Envir. Assessment (EA) Envir. Impact Statement (EIS) Environmental Class of Action (ECAD)
Phase II (plans, specs and estimate	es) \$ % complete months to complete
RIGHT OF WAY NEEDED: No	Yes estimated acreage /cost/ \$

ESTIMATED TIME to acquire ROW (cannot initiate un	ntil Phase I Engr'g is 100% complete)
CALENDAR YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION:	_ ESTIMATED CONST. COST: \$
PART III. EXISTI	NG CONDITIONS
PAVEMENT COND./ CRS: Year Not	es:
VERTICAL CLEARANCE RESTRICTIONS (existing p	profile / overhead structures):
HORIZONTAL RESTRICTIONS (ROW / curb & gutter	r / sidewalks / buildings):
UNUSUAL SOIL CONDITIONS: a) wetlands: Yes No b) cattails in ditches: Yes No c) bogs: Yes No	d) dry land bridges: Yes No e) contaminated soil: Yes No
UTILITIES IN PROPOSED ROW & EASEMENTS: a) electrical: Yes No b) gas: Yes No c) telephone: Yes No d) cable/fiber: Yes No	e) sewer: Yes No f) water: Yes No g) pipelines: Yes No h) other: Yes No
TRAFFIC DATA (AADT/AADTT =Average Annual Dai AADT:vpd Gross or adjusted?:	
TRUCK TRAFFIC: Not Applicable or: AADTT vpd and/or S.U % M PROJECTED FUTURE AADT, if known	
HIGH ACCIDENT LOCATIONS:	
OTHER SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:	
NUMBER OF STRUCTURES INVOLVED:	(Xerox as needed and label 3a, 3b,) (include nearby structures that may be impacted)

(fill out below or attach master structure report from IDOT for each) Location: Waterway or facility crossed: _____ a. Roadway width (face of curb to face of curb): _____ b. Structure width (outside of parapet to outside of parapet): _____ & Structure length: Structure jurisdiction, if other than project sponsor: d. Structure type (concrete, steel or timber): Structure waterway opening (clearance/freeboard consideration): Channel conditions (any debris or scour problems): h. IL Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) permits: Yes _____ No ____ Approach conditions: (# lanes, s/w, C&G, etc.?) ______---__ (attach photographs of each bridge and channel, if available) # ____ Location: _____ Waterway or facility crossed: Roadway width (face of curb to face of curb): Structure width (outside of parapet to outside of parapet): _____ & Structure length: d. Structure jurisdiction, if other than project sponsor: Structure type (concrete, steel or timber): Structure waterway opening (clearance/freeboard consideration): Channel conditions (any debris or scour problems): IL Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) permits: Yes _____ No ____ Approach conditions:

	Location:					
a.	Existing conditions (geometrics, laneage, turning radii, etc):					
	attack plan shoot intersection condition diagram on shotch if available (sample format attached)					
b.	attach plan sheet, intersection condition diagram or sketch, if available (sample format attached) Type of controller (fixed-time, semi- or fully-actuated):					
υ.	Number of signal phases:					
	Brand of controller, if known:					
c.	Pedestrian signals: Yes No • Locations:					
d.	Existing sidewalks: Yes No					
	• Locations:					
f.	Preemption (railroad/fire/emergency/transit vehicle) Yes No • Locations					
g.	Locations					
_	Do current signals meet MUTCD standards? Yes No					
h.						
h.	Do current signals meet MUTCD standards? Yes No Is this intersection a part of a current signal interconnect system? Yes No					
h.	Do current signals meet MUTCD standards? Yes No Is this intersection a part of a current signal interconnect system? Yes No • If yes, give limits					
	Do current signals meet MUTCD standards? Yes No Is this intersection a part of a current signal interconnect system? Yes No • If yes, give limits • If yes, give jurisdictions involved Operational deficiencies:					
i	Do current signals meet MUTCD standards? Yes No Is this intersection a part of a current signal interconnect system? Yes No • If yes, give limits • If yes, give jurisdictions involved					

Loca	ition:
a.	Traffic control (4 way stop, 2 way stop, yield, other)
b.	Lane configuration (all approaches)
 c.	Other conditions:
d.	Location sketch attached: Yes No
e.	Special problems:
Loca	ation:
a.	Traffic control (4 way stop, 2 way stop, yield, other)
b.	Lane configuration (all approaches)
c.	Other conditions:
	Location sketch attached: Yes No
d.	
d. e.	Special problems:

DRAINAGE DATA (complete for <u>each</u> drainage basin):

#_		Location:
		Existing drainage type (open/closed):
	b.	Existing drainage problems:
	c.	Flood plains (transverse/longitudinal):
	d.	Regulatory (FEMA) Floodways:
		Major drainaga atmaturas
	e.	Major drainage structures:
	f.	Outfall conditions:
	g.	Comments (realignment/ cost participation/ jurisdictional transfer/ other):
. 1	11	
Aa	aitio	nal Discussion:

NUMBER OF RAILROADS INVOLVED: _____ # ____ Location: ______ Name of Railroad crossed: a. Existing Type of Crossing: (timber, rubberized, concrete, asphalt, other) Total Number of Tracks: _____ Number of Active tracks: ______ Number of Abandoned tracks: ______ Number of Mainline tracks: ______ Number of Secondary or spur tracks: ______ Width of Crossing: ______ (feet or meters) Other Conditions: f. Type of Protection Devices: (gates, flashing lights, bells, crossbucks only, other): Accommodation for pedestrians and bicyclists crossing the railroad tracks? Yes _____ No ____ • Describe: Is/are there signalized intersection(s) within 200 ft. of the RR crossing? If Yes, #_____ No ____ Is/are there railroads immediately adjacent to the project, but not crossed? If Yes, #______ No _____ i. Name(s) Description: _____ Additional Discussion:

PART IV. ENVIRONMENTAL AND SPECIAL DATA

	lic Parks or Forest Preserve: Yes No Location(s)
b.	4 (f) Involvement (definite or possible?):
Cul	tural Resource Involvement a. historic district: yes no b. historic structure: yes no c. historic marker: yes no d. other eligible historic designations yes no e. other cultural resources: yes no
Lar	ad uses adjacent to proposed project: Industrial Yes No esidential Yes No Dommercial Yes No Park or Forest Yes No
O	ffice Yes No Schools Yes No zardous Materials (UST, LUST, other Hazardous Waste Sites): yes no
Pot	ential Contaminated Soils:
	ral Acceptability (a federally accepted public involvement program will be required during project development): there local public support, generally? Yes No Do not know as the affected public been involved/informed? Yes No
	ow?

PART V. PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK

(Detailed Description)

A.	Proposed Roadway Cross Section(s): Number of Through Lanes:
	If Open Drainage, Shoulder width:
	If Closed Drainage, Parkway width:
	MEDIAN: none raised flush mixed
В.	Intersection Improvements: If Yes, Number: No
C.	New Traffic Signal Location(s): If Yes, Number: No
D.	Traffic Signal Modernization Location(s): If Yes, Number: No
E.	Signals To Be Interconnected: If Yes, Number: No
F.	Structural Improvements: If Yes, Number: No
G.	Pedestrian/ Bicycle Accommodations: Yes No

H. Street Lighting:	Rehabilitation	Yes	No	New Insta	allation	Yes	No
	ments (retaining v						
J. Landscaping:	Yes						
K. Right of Way nee Describe any anticipa	eded: Yes N	Io Es	timated Acre	eage			
L. Railroad Grade C							
M. Drainage:							
Urban (enclosed) Is detention required In line detention	? Yes No	(if youtlets	ves, check ty where?	pe below)			
N. Additional Impro	vements:						

Attachment: Intersection Condition Diagram – sample format

Developed by the Council of Mayors STP Accomplishment Task Force

Prepared by Chicago Area Transportation Study

M:\SH\PROG\Commlia\docs\STP\SCOPE-JOY.DOC 2-2-01 Printed 2/22/2005

Attach intersection diagram sample here